

# Some Facts about Binary Goppa Codes

—

Indocrypt 2009 tutorial

—

Nicolas Sendrier



December 13, 2009, New Delhi, India

## Irreducible Binary Goppa Codes

Parameters:  $m$ ,  $t$  and  $n \leq 2^m$

Let  $\begin{cases} L = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \text{ distinct in } \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \\ g(z) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}[z] \text{ monic irreducible of degree } t \end{cases}$

The binary irreducible Goppa code  $\Gamma(L, g)$  of *support*  $L$  and *generator*  $g(z)$  is defined as the following subspace of  $\{0, 1\}^n$

$$a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \Gamma(L, g) \Leftrightarrow R_a(z) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{a_j}{z - \alpha_j} = 0 \pmod{g(z)}$$

- the dimension of  $\Gamma(L, g)$  is  $k \geq n - tm$
- the minimum distance of  $\Gamma(L, g)$  is  $d \geq 2t + 1$
- there exists a  $t$ -bounded polynomial time decoder for  $\Gamma(L, g)$

## Alternative Definition of Binary Goppa Codes

We have

$$\Gamma(L, g) = \{a \in \{0, 1\}^n \mid aH^T = 0\}$$

where

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \alpha_1 & \cdots & \alpha_n \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \alpha_1^{t-1} & \cdots & \alpha_n^{t-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} g(\alpha_1)^{-1} & & \\ & \cdots & \\ & & g(\alpha_n)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

## Properties of Binary Goppa Codes

For all  $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n$ , its locator polynomial is defined as

$$\sigma_b(z) = \prod_{j=1}^n (z - \alpha_j)^{b_j}$$

(we denote  $\sigma'_b(z)$  its derivative) and its syndrome is defined as

$$R_b(z) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{b_j}{z - \alpha_j}$$

**Proposition 1** For all  $b \in \{0, 1\}^n$ , we have  $R_b(z)\sigma_b(z) = \sigma'_b(z)$

**Proposition 2**  $a \in \Gamma(L, g) \Leftrightarrow g(z) \mid \sigma'_a(z)$

*Proof:*  $a \in \Gamma(L, g) \Leftrightarrow R_a(z) = 0 \pmod{g(z)} \Leftrightarrow \sigma'_a(z) = 0 \pmod{g(z)} \Leftrightarrow g(z) \mid \sigma'_a(z)$

## Main Parameters of Goppa Codes

**Dimension:**  $k \geq n - mt$

there are  $t$  parity check equations with coefficients in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ , thus at most  $mt$  independent parity check equations with binary coefficients  $\rightarrow$  the codimension is at most  $mt$

**Minimum distance:**  $d_{\min}(\Gamma(L, g)) \geq 2t + 1$

$\Gamma(L, g)$  is an alternant code of designed distance  $t + 1$

$$a \in \Gamma(L, g) \Leftrightarrow g(z) \mid \sigma'_a(z) \Leftrightarrow g(z)^2 \mid \sigma'_a(z) \Leftrightarrow a \in \Gamma(L, g^2)$$

because in characteristic 2 the derivative  $\sigma'_a(z)$  is a square

This implies that  $\Gamma(L, g) = \Gamma(L, g^2)$  is an alternant code of designed distance  $2t + 1$ . Its minimum distance is thus  $\geq 2t + 1$

## Decoding Binary Goppa Codes

Let  $b = a + e \in \{0, 1\}^n$  be the received word with  $a \in \Gamma(L, g)$  and  $\text{wt}(e) \leq t$

We have  $R_b(z) = R_a(z) + R_e(z) = R_e(z) \pmod{g(z)^2}$  and thus

$$R_e(z)\sigma_e(z) = \sigma'_e(z) \pmod{g(z)^2}$$

This key equation can be solved with the extended Euclidean algorithm and provides the locator polynomial  $\sigma_e(z)$  of the error

After computing the roots of  $\sigma_e(z)$  we obtain the error  $e$  and the codeword  $a$

## Decoding Complexity

Counting the operations in the field  $\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$ , we get

1. Computing the syndrome  $R_b(z)$   $\rightarrow O(nt)$
2. Solving the key equation  $\rightarrow O(t^2)$
3. Computing the roots of the locator polynomial  $\rightarrow O(mt^2)$

Step 3 uses the Berlekamp trace algorithm

In practice, for sizes used in cryptosystems, the Step 3 is the most expensive

## Berlekamp Trace Algorithm

Problem: Find the roots of  $\sigma(z) \in \mathbf{F}_{2^m}[z]$  assuming  $\sigma(z) \mid z + z^{2^m}$

Trace polynomial ( $\text{Tr}(\cdot)$ ) is the trace in  $\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$  over  $\mathbf{F}_2$ :

$$T(z) = z + z^2 + z^4 + \dots + z^{2^{m-1}} = \prod_{\text{Tr}(\gamma)=0} (z - \gamma)$$

$$1 + T(z) = \prod_{\text{Tr}(\gamma)=1} (z - \gamma) \text{ and } T(z)(T(z) + 1) = z + z^{2^m}$$

We compute  $\begin{cases} \sigma_0(z) = \gcd(\sigma(z), T(z)) \\ \sigma_1(z) = \gcd(\sigma(z), T(z) + 1) = \sigma(z)/\sigma_0(z) \end{cases}$

We can repeat this recursively with  $T(\beta z)$  instead of  $T(z)$  with some  $\beta \in \mathbf{F}_{2^m}$ , hopefully splitting the polynomials again

Doing this with  $T(\beta z)$  when  $\beta \in \{\beta_0, \dots, \beta_{m-1}\}$  runs through a basis of  $\mathbf{F}_{2^m}$  over  $\mathbf{F}_2$  will separate all the roots of  $(z)$



and here lives the dragons. . .